

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/935,852	08/23/2001	Richard A. Lowden	0925	9815
24298	7590 09/26/2003			
UT-Battelle, LLC			EXAMINER	
	, Mail Stop 6498		FIORILLA, CH	RISTOPHER A
Oak Ridge, TN 37831			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1731	
			DATE MAILED: 09/26/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/935,852	LOWDEN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Christopher A. Fiorilla	1731			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
THE - External after filter fi	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. Ithe mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)🖂	8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	on Papers	·				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa	miner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur see the attached detailed Office action for a list of the control of the control of the control of the control of the certified Copies of the prior application for a list of the control of the certified Copies of the prior application for a list of the certified copies of the prior application for a list of the certified copies of the prior application from the certified copies of the prior application from the certified copies of the prior application from the international Bur application from the certified copies of the prior application from the international Bur application from the certified copies of the prior application from the international Bur application from the certified copies of the prior application from the international Bur application from the certified copies of the certified	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•			
	acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic					
	a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachmen	t(s)					
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and To		tion Summary	Port of Poper No. 0002			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/935,852

Art Unit: 1731

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims (1-4 and 19-22), drawn to a composition, classified in class 501, subclass127.
 - II. Claims 5-8, drawn to a method, classified in class 423, subclass 263.
- III. Claims 9-18, drawn to an article, classified in class 428, subclass 98.

 The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 2. Inventions Group I and Group II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP§806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP§806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a sintered body rather than a coating composition and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 3. Inventions Group II and Group I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as

Art Unit: 1731

claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP§806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by another and materially different process such as one which begins with metallic aluminum rather than aluminum oxide.

- 4. Inventions Group II and Group III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP§806.04, MPEP§808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different functions.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. A telephone call was made to Joseph Marasco on September 25, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Art Unit: 1731

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher A. Fiorilla whose telephone number is 703-308-0674. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 6:30am-3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven P. Griffin can be reached on 703-308-1164. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

Christopher A. Fiorilla Primary Examiner Art Unit 1731

caf